



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ORDINANCE REQUIRING VACCINATION AGAINST SMALLPOX UPHELD.

An ordinance of San Antonio, Tex., which provides that the city board of health may require the vaccination against smallpox of pupils, teachers, and school employees, has been held valid by the Court of Civil Appeals of Texas.¹ The court decided that vaccination could be required even though no epidemic of smallpox existed. The following is quoted from the opinion:

We hold that the ordinance is valid; not unreasonable on the claimed ground that it operates without reference to the actual existence of a smallpox epidemic in the city; that there is no unlawful discrimination against persons attending schools, and it is not unreasonable and arbitrary, in view of the conditions in the Mexican quarter of the city and the crowding together of people in street cars, jitneys, theaters, churches, passenger depots, factories, laundries, parks, etc. Nor does it deny appellant, or any pupil, rights and privileges without due course of the law of the land. The [That?] other pupils not vaccinated are permitted to attend school under similar circumstances, if true, would only show the officers were not performing a public duty, but can not affect the validity of the law.

ORDINANCE RESTRICTING RUNNING AT LARGE OF DOGS HELD VALID.²

In a civil action for damages the Court of Civil Appeals of Texas had occasion to pass upon the validity of an ordinance containing the following provision:

Provided, That it shall be unlawful for any dog to be at large upon the streets, alleys, or public grounds of said city unless the same shall be at all times under the control of its master or its master's servant or agent, by means of a chain, rope, or cord of sufficient strength to control the actions of said dog, or such other personal presence and attention as will reasonably control its actions and conduct.

It was stated in the opinion that "there can be no doubt that an ordinance entirely prohibiting letting dogs run at large would be valid. The question therefore is whether that part of the ordinance wherein it is attempted to state in what manner the owner can escape the effect of the general prohibition is so uncertain and vague that it renders the ordinance invalid." The court held the ordinance to be valid.

PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING ABSTRACTS.

Subsurface sewage disposal.—W. A. Hardenbergh. *Public Works*, vol. 49, No. 24, December 11, 1920, p. 553.

In the disposal of effluent from septic tanks subsurface irrigation is usually the solution where contact beds, sprinkling filters, or sand beds do not give satisfactory results. A great many local and other

¹ *Zucht v. King et al.*, 225 S. W., 267.

² *Pettus v. Weyel*, 225 S. W., 191.